

SECRET

8 July 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : SA/DCI/IA

SUBJECT : Breakfast Meeting with Defense, 9 July 1982

1. The following items are on your formal agenda for tomorrow morning's breakfast meeting:

*Now 19
not The Time*

-- Lebanon. This should give you a chance to share with Weinberger your thoughts on what the Israelis are up to in Lebanon. I have included a copy of the memorandum you sent to the President and others yesterday on Israeli objectives. [redacted] provided you a think-piece on "Whither Lebanon." Finally, you may wish to begin a dialogue on the proposed Gulf of Sidra exercise in August. Included for your review is a copy of the 24 June interagency intelligence assessment on this subject. Charlie believes this will come up for NSC consideration later this month. What happens in Lebanon will, of course, affect people's perceptions of the risks and benefits of such an exercise.

25X1

-- Reporting by DoD non-intelligence components. At a recent staff meeting in response to your question on "what do I do with the PFIAB," a discussion arose regarding the untapped Defense assets which could expand and embellish our other intelligence reporting from the field. The material I have included shows that there has been a dialogue in the past between Inmann and Carlucci as recently as March on this subject. Carlucci appears to be sympathetic and reported to Inman in March that the ball was rolling. It might be appropriate at this time to ask Carlucci what the status is of the effort to get more reporting out of the non-intelligence components overseas. Meanwhile, [redacted] and [redacted] have provided additional background memos on this subject.

25X1
25X1

25X1

SECRET

-- U.S.-Soviet confidence-building measures. Judge Clark recently sent Secretary Weinberger a memorandum asking for a Defense study of possible confidence-building measures with the Soviets. He indicated in his memorandum that this might be followed by an interdepartmental review of the whole subject in order to establish the Administration's position. The background information provided [] points clearly to the Nunn-Jackson Senate Amendment to the U.S. Defense Authorization Bill for FY-83, which appears to be forcing the Administration's hand. Meanwhile, [] reports that the Soviets have picked up on this and have suggested that the next U.S.-Soviet summit might address confidence-building measures. You may wish to initiate^a dialogue with Weinberger on this subject and to make sure that he is aware that we are prepared to support any interagency study on this subject.

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. For your information. [] had initially raised the subject of the status of discussions with Defense on procedures governing coordination of overseas intelligence activities as a follow-up to the HPSCI testimony and your discussion with Weinberger last month. You may recall that the two agencies are attempting to redraft DCID 5/2 governing foreign liaison activities. There was a meeting today at which [] people suggested that perhaps a MOU signed by you and Weinberger might be the way to solve the problem. As I mentioned in my note, Defense seems to be more willing to agree to CIA coordination and to recognize DCI authority in a MOU rather than in a DCI Directive. It is not clear what the senior Defense officials feel about this. In any case, Defense has asked that this not be put on the agenda tomorrow because Weinberger and Carlucci have not been thoroughly briefed on this subject. Therefore, this information is for background, although you may wish to remark to Carlucci that you are monitoring the progress of coordination on this subject and are confident that it is on track. This may be enough to stimulate him on his own to look into the matter.

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET